

## Assessment Sheet

Erasmus+ Final Reports Call 2020

KA204 - Strategic Partnerships for adult education

### CONSOLIDATION

<b>Name of the Organisation:</b>	AAKS
<b>Title of the proposal:</b>	Digital Tools and Methods for Safe Transfer Techniques for Caregivers in Today's Health Care Sector
<b>Reference No:</b>	2020-1-DK01-KA204-075155-Beneficiary Report-1
<b>Coordinator Contact:</b>	Mr KJÆR LAURIDSEN Ivan
<b>Language:</b>	EN

### I. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

My Scoring

92.00

#### Scoring Summary

CRITERIA		CONS
Relevance of the project/strategy		18.00
Quality of the project design and implementation		23.00
Quality of the project team and the cooperation arrangements		14.00
Impact and dissemination		37.00
Total		92.00 / 100.00

## II. ASSESSMENT

### Best Practice

The project is to be considered as a best practice

### Dissemination

The project implements very effective measures to disseminate and exploit its results

There is a need to improve the quality of the (English version) of the project summary

### Impact

The project has a very significant impact outside the participating organisations and individuals

### Innovation

The project is innovative (by applying innovative non formal learning methods, tackling innovative topics, developing innovative activities, addressing new target groups or developing innovative tangible results)

### Measures to achieve policy objectives

The project includes activities relating to embedded mobility (building mobility systematically into the curricula)

### Online platforms

The project makes use of EPALE (Electronic Platform for Adult Learning) for preparation, implementation and/or dissemination activities

The project makes use of eTwinning for preparation, implementation and/or dissemination activities

The project makes use of School Education Gateway (SEG) for preparation, implementation and/or dissemination activities

### Open educational resources

The project produces materials, documents and/or media that will be made freely available and promoted through open licences

### Relevance of the project

The project involves refugees/asylum seekers and/or deals with the theme of refugees' crisis in Europe

The project involves migrants or deals with the theme of migrants' inclusion

The project deals with the issues addressed in the Paris Declaration: anti-radicalisation, citizenship, tolerance and non-discrimination

### Results

The project develops tangible results (e.g. materials, documents, products, tools, etc.)

### Results / OER

The project produces Open Educational Resources

### Type of participants

The project addresses the teaching/learning needs of disadvantaged learners

	SCORE
<b>Relevance of the project/strategy</b>	<b>18.00</b>
<p>The project has been very relevant in terms of focusing on fewer work accidents seen from a European perspective. Through this, to develop methods for use in the future labor market with guaranteed changes in sight. The project is clear in its approach to an area where further development is needed.</p> <p>The organization and its partners have addressed the chosen objectives and targets appropriately. Aarhus municipality has contributed to the project regarding recording of film sequences - completely in line with the grant idea under Erasmus. The selected focus areas have been developed by European partners who all possess skills within the field.</p> <p>There could be better descriptions concerning which areas have been particularly important when considering that the project is based on European cooperation.</p>	
<b>Quality of the project design and implementation</b>	<b>23.00</b>
<p>The chosen working method has been adapted to the participants in the project. In general, the activities that have formed the basis of the final results have been thoroughly described with high quality. The website itself, with the published results is of a high quality.. Each sub-area on the results page has involved users who have helped to qualify usability. Some planned activities have either been canceled or moved due to covid-19. It is not reflected negatively in the final results.</p> <p>It is clear that project management has been a focus area. This permeates the results as well as the impression of a project that has been diverse, but with a clear focus on results.</p> <p>There has also been an evaluation along the way. This area could well have been presented more clearly - even though there are some approaches. In particular, there could have been a greater focus on evaluating the product itself and not almost exclusively the usability.</p> <p>Overall, the project is a clear example of a very specific problem that has been dealt with using hands-on methods.</p>	
<b>Quality of the project team and the cooperation arrangements</b>	<b>14.00</b>
<p>The project partners appear to have benefited the project, there are reasonable descriptions regarding who has been responsible for what. However, it could have been interesting to look more closely at the specific points of experience that</p>	

underlie the management responsibilities of the individual organizations in the sub-projects. Communication has worked well, e.g. with monthly meetings of the main steering group. It could have been an idea to involve an organization that is not within the sector in order to renew different ways of thinking from scratch.

**Impact and dissemination**

**37.00**

It appears as if the participating organizations have gained a lot from the project, with subsequent good prospects for continued implementation. It Could potentially be an area where there is a high probability of a large impact on the secondary recipients - health care workers e.g. The project is relatively easy to go to and access for other stakeholders, if the website is promoted as expected.  
The actual promotion of the project results, which consist of 4 intellectual outputs, does not appear clear enough going forward. It's a project that really should be shared far and wide.  
The project has been shared via multiplier events, which is excellent. Local authorities have been involved in the implementation part. There are also considerations regarding the project's impact on participating and other organisations. The prepared material appears to be of high quality and can be used free of charge by interested partners in the future. The project has great potential, which is why it is important to continue with implementation and further development.

**Overall comments to the beneficiary**

The project has been very relevant in terms of focusing on fewer work accidents seen from a European perspective. Through this, to develop methods for use in the future labor market with guaranteed changes in sight. The project is clear in its approach to an area where further development is needed.  
The organization and its partners have addressed the chosen objectives and targets appropriately. Aarhus municipality has contributed to the project regarding recording of film sequences - completely in line with the grant idea under Erasmus.  
The selected focus areas have been developed by European partners who all possess skills within the field. There could be better descriptions concerning which areas have been particularly important when considering that the project is based on European cooperation.  
The chosen working method has been adapted to the participants in the project. In general, the activities that have formed the basis of the final results have been thoroughly described with high quality. The website itself, with the published results is of a high quality.. Each sub-area on the results page has involved users who have helped to qualify usability.  
Some planned activities have either been canceled or moved due to covid-19. It is not reflected negatively in the final results.  
It is clear that project management has been a focus area. This permeates the results as well as the impression of a project that has been diverse, but with a clear focus on results.  
There has also been an evaluation along the way. This area could well have been presented more clearly - even though there are some approaches. In particular, there could have been a greater focus on evaluating the product itself and not almost exclusively the usability.  
Overall, the project is a clear example of a very specific problem that has been dealt with using hands-on methods.  
The project partners appear to have benefited the project, there are reasonable descriptions regarding who has been responsible for what. However, it could have been interesting to look more closely at the specific points of experience that underlie the management responsibilities of the individual organizations in the sub-projects.  
Communication has worked well, e.g. with monthly meetings of the main steering group. It could have been an idea to involve an organization that is not within the sector in order to renew different ways of thinking from scratch.  
It appears as if the participating organizations have gained a lot from the project, with subsequent good prospects for continued implementation. It Could potentially be an area where there is a high probability of a large impact on the secondary recipients - health care workers e.g. The project is relatively easy to go to and access for other stakeholders, if the website is promoted as expected.  
The actual promotion of the project results, which consist of 4 intellectual outputs, does not appear clear enough going forward. It's a project that really should be shared far and wide.  
The project has been shared via multiplier events, which is excellent. Local authorities have been involved in the implementation part. There are also considerations regarding the project's impact on participating and other organisations.  
The prepared material appears to be of high quality and can be used free of charge by interested partners in the future.  
The project has great potential, which is why it is important to continue with implementation and further development.